RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 2 5 2006

In re Application of: **W**u et al. Serial No.: 10/649,495 Filed: 08/27/2003

-8-

## Remarks

In response to the Office Action mailed on May 2, 2006 for the above-identified application, applicants respond as follows. A response for this Action was due on August 2, 2006. Therefore, this response, filed on or before November 2, 2006, as sent with a request for three-month extension of time, is to be considered timely.

The Examiner stated that Claims 1-39 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16-23, 25, 27, 29-32, 34, 36 and 38 have been withdrawn. Claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16-23, 25, 27, 29-32, 34, 36 and 38 have been cancelled. Claims 5-8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 24, 26, 33, 35, and 37 have been allowed.

No new subject matter has been added to the subject application with the filing of this response. Applicants reserve their right to file divisional applications on the subject matter that has been subject to restriction and continuation applications on the subject matter that has been deleted out of all currently amended claims.

## **Restriction Requirement**

Applicants have cancelled withdrawn claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16-23, 25, 27, 29-32, 34, 36 and 38 in response to the restriction requirement.

## Oath and Declaration

The Examiner stated the oath or declaration was defective under MPEP Section 602 in that the specification to which the oath or declaration is directed to has not been adequately identified. The Examiner stated that "the applicants have stated that the specification is attached hereto in the Oath/Declaration filed May 11, 2004, which is not so." Applicants are in the process of preparing a newly executed Oath/Declaration to comply with the Examiner's request and will forward it to the Examiner when it is completed.

At this time, applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of this rejection.

## Rejection under Section 112, Second Paragraph

Claim 28 has been rejected under section 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph as being vague and indefinite. The Examiner stated it is not known to what this disorder refers to in the term "wherein the disorder". In response, applicants have amended claim 28 to recite "for treating a human afflicted with a disorder", thus mooting this rejection.

At this time, applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of this rejection.

In re Application of: Wu et al. Serial No.: 10/649,495

Filed: 08/27/2003

- 9 -

If any additional fees, other than the appropriate extension of time fees, are determined to be due by this paper, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct such fees from **Account No. 19-0365**.

If the undersigned can be of assistance to the Examiner in addressing issues to advance the application to allowance, please contact the undersigned at the number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

William Lee

Reg. No. 46,100

Schering-Plough Corporation

Patent Department

Mail Stop K-6-1, 1990

2000 Galloping Hill Road Kenilworth, NJ 07033-0530

Phone: (908) 298-2161 Fax: (908) 298-5388